Beitish Film institute Readers in Film Studies

Genre: The Musical A Reader/Edited by Rick Altman



Routledge & Kegan Paul London, Boston and Henley

in association with the British Film Institute 127 Charing Cross Road, London WC2H 0EA

First published in 1981 by Routledge & Kegan Paul Ltd 39 Store Street. London WC1E 7DD 9 Park Street Boston. Mass. 02108. USA and Broadway House Newtown Road. Henley-on-Thames. Oxon RG9 1EN Set in Sabon and Helvetica by Input Typesetting Ltd. London and printed in Great Britain by St Edmundsbury Press Bury St Edmunds, Suffolk © Introduction and editorial matter: British Film Institute 1981 No part of this book may be reproduced in any form without permission from the publisher, except for the quotation of brief passages in criticism

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Genre, the musical. (British Film Institute readers in film studies) Bibliography: p. Includes index. Contents: Vincente Minnelli / Thomas Elsaesser -George Sidney / Alain Masson - Some Warners musicals and the spirit of the New Deal | Mark Roth - [etc.] 1. Moving-pictures, musical - History and criticism -Addresses, essays, lectures. I. Altman, Rick. II. Series. PN1995.9.M86G4 791.43'09'09357 81-11966

ISBN 0-7100-0816-3 ISBN 0-7100-0817-1 (pbk.)

AACR2

Acknowledgments vii Introduction 1

- Thomas Elsaesser: 'Vincente Minnelli' · 8
- Alain Masson: 'George Sidney: Artificial Brilliance/The Brilliance of
- 3 Mark Roth: 'Some Warners Musicals and the Spirit of the New
- 4 Robin Wood: 'Art and Ideology: Notes on Silk Stockings' · 57
- Lucy Fischer: 'The Image of Woman as Image: The Optical Politics
- Dennis Giles: 'Show-making' · 85
- Raymond Bellour: 'Segmenting/Analysing' · 102
- 8 Jim Collins: 'Toward Defining a Matrix of the Musical Comedy: The Place of the Spectator Within the Textual Mechanisms' · 134
- 9 Alan Williams: 'The Musical Film and Recorded Popular Music' · 147
- 10 Jane Feuer: 'The Self-reflective Musical and the Myth of Entertainment' · 159
- 11 Richard Dyer: 'Entertainment and Utopia' · 175
- 12 Martin Sutton: 'Patterns of Meaning in the Musical' 190
- 13 Rick Altman: 'The American Film Musical: Paradigmatic Structure and Mediatory Function' 197
- 14 Jane Feuer: 'The Hollywood Musical: An Annotated Bibliography' · 208

Postscript · 216 Notes on Contributors · 220 Index · 223

could best be attacked comparatively rather than inductively. This is the usefulness of a formulation like Jaubert's: one is not obliged to define the musical as ideal type, but rather to procede quasi-deductively, defining the musical at each level by what it is not. I hope to have shown in these pages how such work might begin.

Notes

- 1 'Music on the Screen', in Charles Davy, ed., Footnotes to the Film (London: Lovat Dickson, 1937), pp. 104-5.
- 2 'The Work of Art in the Age of Mechanical Reproduction', in *Illuminations* (New York: Schocken, 1969), pp. 222-3.
- 3 See his The Film: A Psychological Study (rpt. New York: Dover, 1970), particularly chapter 4.

10 · Jane Feuer: "The Self-reflective Musical and the Myth of Entertainment"

Quarterly Review of Film Studies, vol. 2, no. 3, August 1977, pp.

Perhaps the most characteristic aspect of so-called Hollywood classical narrative is the transparency of its language. In the typical Hollywood product everything is calculated to keep us from realizing that we are watching a film. We never notice that the people we are observing consist only of shadow and light, that multiple cameras and microphones have been used in the construction of the image and its soundtrack, that sound and image emanate from different sources. Modernist narrative, on the other hand, is usually associated with the foregrounding of filmic language, multiple levels of narration, and the estrangement of the spectator. Such clichés, long central to the enterprise of narrative analysis, simply will not survive a confrontation with the musical. As Jane Feuer points out, the musical from its very beginnings has been a self-reflective genre, presenting all the alienation devices which we normally associate with Brecht and an aesthetic or Marxist avant-garde, yet the musical shares none of the revolutionary purpose supposedly implied by selfreflexivity. (Alan Williams comes to the same conclusion in the preceding sarticle.) Mobilizing radical technique for a conservative purpose, the musical undermines traditional attempts to identify a specific ideology

Not content to point up the musical's challenge to well-established notions of the limits and elements of classical narrative, Feuer goes on to demonstrate how the musical turns its self-reflective technique to its gown purposes, foregrounding its technology only in order to reaffirm its own values better. Critics of the musical complain that it provides entergainment but nothing more. Feuer shows how a group of the genre's pest-known films answers that complaint and puts it at least temporarily to rest. Far from simply being entertainment, these musicals are about entertainment; whatever the spectator's notion of entertainment may have been, these films redefine it in such a way that it cannot help but be positive. By allying forms of entertainment with values previously held by the spectator, or by opposing entertainment to patently false or ridculous non-entertainment forms, the musical succeeds amply in the process of self-justification. The spectator is thus doubly at the mercy of the film: at first he/she is lured into believing in the film's fundamental monesty because the film admits that it is 'only' a film, yet all the while this particular film is being aligned with a set of values which the spectator eannot help but accept, so that by the end of the film the spectator has

been convinced that this product of big business, modern technology, and mass distribution is a downhome blend of spontaneity, togetherness, and singalong sensitivity. By creating its own myth of entertainment the musical succeeds in disguising the crass commercialism of the mass media behind a wholesome front of folk art.

Earlier decades of film criticism were all too happy to forget the embarrassing fact that Hollywood often makes decisions on economic rather than artistic grounds. In recent years, however, more and more critics have successfully introduced the socio-economic facts of film production into their analyses. Feuer's article represents for the musical a pioneering effort in this direction. She shows how the musical manages to make a virtue out of its embarrassing past, how the musical serves as a mechanism to disguise not its own status as a Hollywood product but Hollywood's unmentionable status as a commercial enterprise.

Within the musical film the most persistent subgenre has involved kids (or adults) 'getting together and putting on a show'. The Jazz Singer (1927) featured a show business story, and during the talkie boom that followed (1929-1930), a large percentage of the early musicals took for their subjects the world of entertainment: Broadway. Vaudeville, the Ziegfeld Follies, burlesque, night clubs, the circus, Tin Pan Alley, and, to a lesser extent, mass entertainment media in the form of radio or Hollywood itself. Warner Brothers' 42nd Street (1933) precipitated a second cycle of musicals. The 42nd Street spinoffs tended to feature a narrative strategy typical of the backstage musical: musical interludes, usually in the form of rehearsal sequences detailing the maturation of the show, would be interspersed with parallel dramatic scenes detailing maturation of the off-stage love affairs. Even a radio story such as Twenty Million Sweethearts (1934) took its narrative structure from this paradigm. Perhaps these 'art' musicals fulfilled a need for verisimilitude; perhaps the audience felt more comfortable viewing musical numbers within the context of a show than seeing fairytale queens and princes suddenly feel a song coming on in the royal boudoir. Whatever the explanation for its origins, the backstage pattern was always central to the genre. Incorporated into the structure of the art musical was the very type of popular entertainment represented by the musical film itself. The art musical is thus a self-referential form.

All art musicals are self-referential in this loose sense. But given

such an opportunity, some musicals have exhibited a greater degree of self-consciousness than others. Dames (1934) climaxes its show-within-the film with an apology for its own mode of entertainment, appropriately entitled 'Dames'. Moreover, the 'Dames' number resolves a narrative in which the forces of Puritanism do battle with the forces of entertainment. It is this victory of what might be termed the 'prurient ethic' over the Puritan ethic that the final show celebrates within the film, and that the 'Dames' number celebrates within that show. In similar fashion, the Fred Astaire—Ginger Rogers cycle at RKO (1933–39) began to reflect upon the

Shall We Dance (1937) culminates in a show merging popular dancing with ballet. Yet that merger consists not in an equal union but rather in the lending of youth, rhythm, and vitality to the stiff, formal, classical art of ballet. Once again, a musical film has affirmed its own value for the popular audience.

Dames and Shall We Dance are early examples of musicals that are self-reflective beyond their given self-referentiality. Historically, the art musical has evolved toward increasingly greater degrees of self-reflectivity. By the late forties and into the early fifties, a series of musicals produced by the Freed Unit at MGM used the backstage format to present sustained reflections upon, and affirmations of, the musical genre itself. Three of these apologies for the musical (all scripted by Betty Comden and Adolph Green), The Barkleys of Broadway (1949), Singin' in the Rain (1952), and The Band Wagon (1953) involve contrasts between performances that fail to please audiences and performances that are immediately audience-pleasing.² Performances in these films are not restricted to onstage numbers. Multiple levels of performances and consequent multiple levels of audience combine to create a myth about musical entertainment permeating ordinary life. Through the work of these filmic texts all successful performances, both in art and in life, are condensed into the MGM musical.

To say that entertainment is 'mythified' is to institute a triple play upon conventional meanings of the word 'myth'. Most simply, it means that entertainment is shown as having greater value than it actually does. In this sense musicals are ideological products; they are full of deceptions. As students of mythology have demonstrated, however, these deceptions are willingly suffered by the audience. In American Vaudeville as Ritual, Albert F. McLean attempts to explain this contradiction in his definition of myth as:

a constellation of images and symbols, whether objectively real or imaginary, which brings focus and a degree of order to the

psychic (largely unconscious) processes of a group or society and in so doing endows a magical potency upon the circumstances of persons involved.³

McLean's notion of myth as 'aura' occupies a pole opposite that of myth as 'untruth' in constituting the myth of entertainment.

According to Claude Lévi-Strauss, the seemingly random surface structure of a myth masks contradictions which are real and therefore unresolvable.4 Art musicals are structurally similar to myths, seeking to mediate contradictions in the nature of popular entertainment. The myth of entertainment is constituted by an oscillation between demystification and remythicization.5 Musicals, like myths, exhibit a stratified structure. The ostensible or surface function of these musicals is to give pleasure to the audience by revealing what goes on behind the scenes in the theater or Hollywood, that is, to demystify the production of entertainment. But the films remythicize at another level that which they set out to expose. Only unsuccessful performances are demystified. The musical desires an ultimate valorization of entertainment; to destroy the aura, reduce the illusion, would be to destroy the mythof entertainment as well.6 For the purpose of analysis, the myth of entertainment can be subdivided into three categories: the myth of spontaneity, the myth of integration, and the myth of the audience. In the films, however, the myth makes its impact through combination and repetition. Thus, a single musical number can be highly overdetermined and may be discussed under all three categories.

The myth of spontaneity

Perhaps the primary positive quality associated with musical performance is its spontaneous emergence out of a joyous and responsive attitude toward life. The musical buffs' parlor game which attempts to distinguish Fred Astaire's screen persona from Gene Kelly's ignores the overriding similarities in both dancers' spontaneous stances. The Barkleys of Broadway, Singin' in the Rain, and The Band Wagon contrast the spontaneity of Astaire or Kelly with the prepackaged or calculated behaviour of other performers.

In Singin' in the Rain, spontaneous talent distinguishes Don, Cosmo, and Kathy from Lina Lamont. Lina's laborious attempts to master basic English are followed by Don Lockwood's elocution lesson. Don and Cosmo seize upon the tongue-twister to turn the lesson into a spontaneous, anarchic dance routine, 'Moses Supposes'. Spontaneous self-expression through song and dance char-

acterizes the three positive performers: Cosmo in 'Make 'Em Laugh,' Don in 'Singin' in the Rain,' and all three in 'Good Mornin,' which evolves out of their collective solution to the problems of the 'Dueling Cavalier.'

In addition, the impression of spontaneity in these numbers stems from a type of *bricolage*; the performers make use of props-at-hand – curtains, movie paraphernalia, umbrellas, furniture – to create the imaginary world of the musical performance. This *bricolage*, a hallmark of the post-Gene Kelly MGM musical, creates yet another contradiction: an effect of spontaneous realism is achieved through simulation

The Barkleys of Broadway opposes strained, artificial 'serious' performances to spontaneous and natural musical comedy performances. Dinah Barkley's sparkling costume and demeanor in the title sequence with Astaire ('Swing Trot') contrasts with her subdued garb and sullen demeanor as a dramatic actress. Early in the film we see Dinah truncating her understudy's carefully calculated audition, doing a brief warm-up, and going into a perfectly executed rehearsal of a tap routine with her husband. The rehearsals of 'Young Sarah' (a play about Sarah Bernhardt's struggle to become an actress) are quite the opposite. Josh (Astaire), the musical comedy director-performer, is always spontaneous and natural. In the parallel sequence to Dinah's labors over 'Young Sarah,' we see Josh doing a completed number from his new show. 'Shoes with Wings On' presents musical comedy dancing as an involuntary response, like breathing. Dancing is so spontaneous for Josh that animated shoes pull him into performance. The Astaire character never changes; he is presented as an utterly seamless monument of naturalness and spontaneity. Others must adapt to his style. Dinah can succeed as a performer only in a musical setting with Josh. Even their offstage performances stem from a spontaneous responsiveness to ordinary life, as when their dance to 'You'd Be Hard to Replace' evolves out of the natural movements of putting on robes.

Similar oppositions between spontaneous and canned performers structure Singin' in the Rain and The Band Wagon. Astaire's trademark, 'reflex' dancing, has its counterpart in the 'Gotta Dance' motif which informs Kelly's 'Broadway Ballet,' part of the ultimately successful film-within-the-film. The Band Wagon cuts from Tony Hunter's (Astaire's) spontaneous eruption into song and dance at the penny arcade to Jeffrey Cordova in Oedipus Rex. The moaning sounds in the background of this production are later associated with the reactions of an audience to Cordova's laborious

musical version of Faust. We are shown Cordova from the point of view of Tony and the Martons in the wings (almost always a demystifying camera position), as he moves from his curtain calls as Oedipus into his offstage pomposity. Although Cordova's Oedipus is said to be successful with audiences in the film the extent to which it is demystified for us undercuts its status as a successful show. Cordova is characterized throughout the first half of the film by the mechanical nature of his actions and utterances. He continually gives rehearsed speeches such as the one about Bill Shakespeare's immortal lines and Bill Robinson's immortal feet. On the first day of rehearsals, Cordova tells the cast exactly what will happen to them before the show opens. Not until he dances with Astaire (and in Astaire's style) in the top-hat, white tie, and tails soft-shoe number in the second 'Band Wagon' does Cordova achieve true spontaneity as a performer.

Almost every spontaneous performance in *The Band Wagon* has a matched segment which parodies the lack of spontaneity of the high art world. Tony drops Gaby while attempting a lift during the rehearsal of a ballet number for the first show; later in 'The Girl Hunt,' a jazz ballet, he lifts her effortlessly. Tony and Gaby's relaxed offstage rehearsal of a dance to 'You and the Night and the Music' literally explodes on stage at the dress rehearsal. A prepackaged orchestral rendition of 'Something to Remember You By' at the official New Haven cast party dissolves into a vocal version of the same song spontaneously performed by the 'kids' at the chorus party. Spontaneity thus emerges as the hallmark of a successful performance.

The myth of spontaneity operates through what we are shown of the work of production of the respective shows as well as how we are shown it. In Singin' in the Rain, we see the technical difficulties involved with filming and projecting 'The Dueling Cavalier,' including Lina's battle with the microphone and the failure of the film when its technological base is revealed to the preview audience. 'The Dancing Cavalier,' in contrast, springs to life effortlessly. The film shows an awareness of this opposition between the foregrounding of technology in 'The Dueling Cavalier' and the invisibility of technology in the 'Dancing Cavalier.' 'The Broadway Ballet' is presented in the context of an idea for a production number, and one of the biggest jokes in the film concerns the producer's inability to visualize what we have just been shown, elaborate and complete. Yet at many other points in Singin' in the Rain this awareness is masked, often in quite complex ways.8 In 'You Were Meant for Me' the exposure of the wind machine

figures prominently in the demystification of romantic musical numbers. Yet in a dialogue scene outside the soundstage just prior to this number, Kathy's scarf had blown to the breeze of an invisible wind machine. Even after we are shown the tools of illusion at the beginning of the number, the camera arcs around and comes in for a tighter shot of the performing couple, thereby remasking the exposed technology and making the duet just another example of the type of number whose illusions it exposes. Demystification is countered by the reassertion of the spontaneous evolution of musical films. Perhaps the ultimate in spontaneous evolution of a musical number occurs in The Barkleys. At the end of the film, the couple decides to do another musical. Josh describes a dance routine which, unlike 'Young Sarah,' will have tempo, and the couple goes into a dance, framed to the right of a curtain in their living room. As they spin, there is a dissolve to the same step as part of an elaborate production number in the new show.

In The Band Wagon the labor of producing the first show eclipses the performances. Never do we see a completed number from the first show. Technical or personal problems prevent the completion of every number shown in rehearsal, as when Tony walks out or when Cordova is levitated by the revolving stage. It is not because high art (ballet) and popular art (musical comedy) are inherently mutually exclusive that Cordova's show fails. After all, it is Tony's impressionist paintings which pay for the successful show. Rather, the film suggests that Cordova fails because he has been unable to render invisible the technology of production in order to achieve the effect of effortlessness by which all entertainment succeeds in winning its audience.

Of course spontaneous performances that mask their technology have been calculated too – not for audiences within the films but for audiences of the film. The musical, technically the most complex type of film produced in Hollywood, paradoxically has always been the genre which attempts to give the greatest illusion of spontaneity and effortlessness. It is as if engineering were to affirm bricolage as the ultimate approach to scientific thought. The self-reflective musical is aware of this in attempting to promulgate the myth of spontaneity. The heavily value-laden oppositions set up in the self-reflective films promote the mode of expression of the film musical itself as spontaneous and natural rather than calculated and technological. Musical entertainment thus takes on a natural relatedness to life processes and to the lives of its audiences. Musical entertainment claims for its own all natural and joyous performances in art and in life. The myth of spontaneity operates (to

borrow Lévi-Strauss's terminology) to make musical performance, which is actually part of culture, appear to be part of nature.

The myth of integration

Earlier musicals sometimes demonstrated ambiguous attitudes toward the world of musical theater, perceiving conflicts between success on the stage and success in the performers' personal lives. In Ziegfeld Girl (1941), Lana Turner is destroyed when sne forsakes the simple life in Brooklyn for the glamor of the Follies. In Cain and Mabel (1936), Marion Davies has to be physically dragged onto the stage after deciding to retire to a garage in Jersey. with prize fighter beau Clark Gable. But the self-reflective musical asserts the integrative effect of musical performance. Successful performances are intimately bound up with success in love, with the integration of the individual into a community or a group, and even with the merger of high art with popular art.

In Singin' in the Rain, the success of the musical film brings about the final union of Don and Kathy. This consummation takes place on the stage at the premier in front of a live audience and in the form of a duet. The music is carried over to a shot of the lovers embracing in front of a billboard of Don and Kathy's images. But the successful show on the billboard is no longer 'The Dancing Cavalier'; it is Singin' in the Rain, that is, the film itself. This hall-of-mirrors effect emphasizes the unity-giving function of the musical both for the couples and audiences in the film and for the audience of the film. In The Barkleys, Josh and Dinah are reunited when she realizes she wants 'nothing but fun set to music,' that is, the type of performance associated with the MGM musical. Gaby, in The Band Wagon, learns the value of popular entertainment as she learns to love Tony. 'Dancing in the Dark' imitates the form of a sexual act as it merges two kinds of dancing previously set in conflict. The number combines the ballet movements associated with Gaby and her choreographer beau Paul Byrd with the ballroom dancing associated with Astaire. At the end of the film the long run of their successful show is used by Gaby as a metaphor for her relationship with Tony.

The right kind of musical performance also integrates the individual into a unified group just as the wrong kind alienates. The Band Wagon traces Tony's repeated movements from isolation to the joy of being part of a group. At the beginning of the film, Tony sings 'By Myself' isolated by the tracking camera; as he enters the

crowded terminal, the camera stops moving to frame him against the crowd, a mass that becomes an audience for Tony's antics with the Martons. The arcade sequence repeats this opening movement. Once again Tony overcomes his sense of isolation by reestablishing contact with an audience through spontaneous musical performance. The '?' machine at the arcade symbolizes the problem/solution format of the narrative. When Tony answers the question of how to make a comeback by dancing with a shoeshine man, the machine bursts open and his audience rushes to congratulate him. Another such movement occurs when, after the failure of the first show, Tony finds himself the only guest at the official cast party. 'I Love Louisa' marks his renewal of contact with yet another audience - this time the common folk of the theater itself. At the end of the film, Tony moves from a reprise of 'By Myself' into the final integration - a symbolic marriage to Gaby and to the rank and file of the theater. The myth of integration makes itself felt through the repetitive structure of the film.

Paralleling Tony's movement from isolation to integration and also paralleling the integration of the couple, is Gaby's integration into the populist world of musical theater from the elitist world of high art. We first see Gaby in a ballet performance in which she functions as prima ballerina backed by the corps. At Cordova's, the two worlds are spatially isolated as the representatives of high art (Gabrielle and Paul) and those of popular art (Tony and the Martons) occupy separate rooms. The possibility of movement between the two worlds is stressed by the precisely parallel actions taking place in each room as well as by Cordova's role as mediator between the two rooms (worlds). Cordova prevents a terminal clash between Tony and Gaby by rushing into the neutral space of the front hall and drawing the representatives of both worlds back into his own central space.

Gabrielle begins her integration into the world of popular art through a renewal of contact with the common folk in Central Park, a process which culminates in 'I Love Louisa' with Gaby serving as part of the chorus. Paul Byrd draws Gaby away from the group into an isolated space symbolic of the old world of ballet; the camera frames the couple apart from the mass. The colors of their isolated space - subdued shades of brown and white - contrast with the vibrant colors of the chorus' costumes which have just filled the frame. In leaving this isolated space to return to the group, Gaby has taken the side of the collective effort which will produce the successful musical. 'New Sun in the Sky,' the first number in the new show, again finds Gaby backed up by a chorus,

but this time the mood is celebratory – the bright golds and reds as well as the lyrics of the song emphasize Gaby's rebirth. Even the musical arrangement of the song – upbeat and jazzy – contrasts with the more sedate balletic arrangement we heard in that rehearsal for the Faustian *Band Wagon* in which Tony dropped Gaby. At the end of the film, Gaby expresses her feelings for Tony by speaking for the group, the chorus framed in back of her as she speaks.

Everyone knows that the musical film was a mass art produced by a tiny elite for a vast and amorphous consuming public; the self-reflective musical attempts to overcome this division through the myth of integration. It offers a vision of musical performance originating in the folk, generating love and a cooperative spirit which includes everyone in its grasp and which can conquer all obstacles. By promoting audience identification with the collectively produced shows, the myth of integration seeks to give the audience a sense of participation in the creation of the film itself. The musical film becomes a mass art which aspires to the condition of a folk art — produced and consumed by the same integrated community.

The myth of the audience

It follows that successful performances will be those in which the performer is sensitive to the needs of his audience and which give the audience a sense of participation in the performance. Josh Barkley berates Dinah for her performance in the subway scene because 'the audience wants to cry there and you won't let them.' Cordova is more concerned with the revolving stage than with delivering audience-pleasing performances; his canned speeches of solidarity with the cast are undercut by his delivering them with his back to the group, oblivious to their response. Tony Hunter, on the other hand, is willing to leave the self-enclosed world of the theater to regain contact with the folk who make up his audience. 'Dancing in the Dark' is precipitated by observing ordinary people dancing in Central Park.

The insensitive performer also attempts to manipulate his audience. Cordova wants to control the timing of the curtain, the actress's exit pace, and the placing of an amber spot in Oedipus. Lina Lamont masks the fact that she is unable to speak for herself either on stage or on screen.

Yet while setting up an association between success and lack of

audience manipulation, the musicals themselves exert continuous control over the responses of their audiences. The film musical profits rhetorically by displacing to the theater the myth of a privileged relationship between musical entertainment and its audience. Popular theater can achieve a fluidity and immediacy in this respect that the film medium lacks. The out-of-town tryout, the interpolation of new material after each performance, the instantaneous modulation of performer to audience response - none of these common theatrical practices is possible for film. Hollywood had only the limited adaptations made possible by the preview system and the genre system itself which accommodated audience response by making (or not making) other films of the same type. The backstage musical, however, manages to incorporate the immediate performer-audience relationship into films, thus gaining all the advantages of both media. Musical numbers can be shot from the point of view of a front-row theatrical spectator and then move into filmic space - combining the immediate contact of the theater with the mobility of perspective of the camera. Numbers that begin within theatrical space merge, often quite imperceptibly, into filmic space. Extended musical sequences such as 'Shoes With Wings On' and 'The Girl Hunt Ballet' start within a proscenium frame and then become fully edited filmic sequences, in a tradition stemming from the early Berkeley musicals.

The Band Wagon uses this double perspective to manipulate the film audience's point of view. In 'That's Entertainment,' Cordova and the Martons try to convince Tony that all successful art is entertainment. The number takes place on the stage of an empty theater with the first refrain of the song shot from camera positions that approximate the point of view of a spectator on the stage (angles available only to the cinema). Midway through the number, at the point where Tony is convinced, the action shifts to the performing area of the stage and the point of view shifts to that of a spectator in the theater. The film audience sees, from the point of view of a theater audience, the number performed in the empty theater becoming a direct address to the film's audience. The effort to convince Tony has become an effort to convince us. In the reprise of 'That's Entertainment' at the film's finale, the point of view shifts from over-the-shoulder shots to frame the performers directly in front of the camera as they ask us to celebrate once again the merging of all art into entertainment, this time in the form of the film The Band Wagon itself (an effect quite like that of the billboard at the end of Singin' in the Rain). 'Make 'Em Laugh' is much more subtle in shifting points of view. Starting

from a subjective shot over Don's shoulder, the number begins as an affirmation of the value of entertainment as Cosmo attempts to cheer up his friend; however, the point of view quickly shifts so that the message is addressed to the film's audience. We quickly lose track of Don's point of view, and the number never returns to it.

The use of theatrical audiences in the films provides a point of identification for audiences of the film. Even Singin' in the Rain emphasizes the responses of live audiences at previews and premiers. Although inserted shots of applauding audiences can be used as a trick similar to television's use of canned laughter, self-reflective musicals tend to use audiences-within-the-film more subtly. In The Barkleys, Astaire and Rogers dance 'Swing Trot,' a routine designed to arouse nostalgia for the famous team, under the film's titles. At the end of the number there is a cut to a side angle, and we see the couple taking a bow before a live audience. The audience in the film is there to express the adulation the number itself sought to arouse from the film's audience.

MGM musicals make use of natural, spontaneous audiences which form around offstage performances. 'Shine on Your Shoes' in *The Band Wagon* demonstrates Astaire's ability to adapt his dancing to any occasion and any audience, as he incorporates the shoeshiner into his performance. The spontaneous audience which forms around the duo provides a point of identification for the film audience as well. In 'I Love Louisa' the chorus serves first as an audience for Tony and the Martons's clowning, and then participates in the dance, providing a vicarious sense of participation for the film audience. Audiences in the films suggest a contagious spirit inherent in musical performance, related to the suggestion that the MGM musical is folk art; the audience must be shown as participating in the production of entertainment.

Intertextuality and star iconography can be a means of manipulating audience response. Many of the later MGM musicals play upon the audience's memories of earlier musicals. The Barkleys plays on the Astaire–Rogers legend from its first shot of the couple's feet, which echoes the title sequence of Top Hat (1935). The couple's reunion performance to 'You Can't Take That Away From Me' harks back to Shall We Dance (1937), with the dance itself reminiscent of one of their old routines. Such attempts to evoke nostalgia play on the star system's desire to erase the boundaries between star persona and character, between on-screen and off-screen personalities. The Barkleys thus celebrates Ginger Rogers' return to musical comedy after a series of straight dramatic films,

suggesting that the only way she can succeed with an audience is by dancing with Astaire in musicals. 10

Other self-reflective musicals make use of audience response to songs from previous stage musicals or films. Most of the songs in Singin' in the Rain were written for the earliest MGM film musicals. The Band Wagon takes its music from stage revues of the same period (late twenties to early thirties). In the interim many of these songs had become standards, and the films were able to play upon the audience's familiarity with the lyric. 'Dancing in the Dark,' for example, is used only in instrumental arrangement, thus inviting the audience to participate by supplying the lyric. Two related practices of the Freed Unit – biopics fashioned around a composer's hit songs, and the purchase of a song catalog around which to construct an original musical – depended upon audience familiarity (through both filmic and nonfilmic intertexts) for their effectiveness.

Conclusion

Self-reflective musicals mediate a contradiction between live performance in the theater and the frozen form of cinema by implying that the MGM musical is theater, possesses the same immediate and active relationship to its audience. Both the myth of integration and the myth of the audience suggest that the MGM musical is really a folk art, that the audience participates in the creation of musical entertainment. The myth of integration suggests that the achievement of personal fulfilment goes hand-in-hand with the enjoyment of entertainment. And the myth of spontaneity suggests that the MGM musical is not artificial but rather completely natural. Performance is no longer defined as something professionals do on a stage; instead, it permeates the lives of professional and nonprofessional singers and dancers. Entertainment, the myth implies, can break down the barriers between art and life.

The myth of entertainment, in its entirety, cannot be celebrated in a single text or even across three texts. Different aspects of the myth achieve prominence in different films but the myth is carried by the genre as a whole. The notion of breaking down barriers betwen art and life, for example, is more prominent in Minnelli's The Pirate (1947) than in any of the films discussed here. It might be said that the elements of the myth of entertainment constitute a paradigm which generates the syntax of individual texts.

Ultimately, one might wonder why these films go to such lengths

to justify the notion that all life should aspire to the condition of a musical performance. That is, why expend so much effort to celebrate mythic elements the audience is likely to accept anyway? Answering this question involves an awareness both of the function of ritual and of the ritual function of the musical. All ritual involves the celebration of shared values and beliefs; the ritual function of the musical is to reaffirm and articulate the place that entertainment occupies in its audience's psychic lives. Self-reflective musicals are then able to celebrate myths created by the genre as a whole.

Yet the extremes of affirmation in *The Band Wagon* need further justification in terms of its function for MGM as well as for the popular audience. At a time when the studio could no longer be certain of the allegiance of its traditional mass audience, *The Band Wagon*, in ritual fashion, served to reaffirm the traditional relationship. For the musical was always the quintessential Hollywood product: all Hollywood films manipulated audience response, but the musical could incorporate that response in the film itself; all Hollywood films sought to be entertaining, but the musical could incorporate a myth of entertainment into its aesthetic discourse. As Thomas Elsaesser says, 'The world of the musical becomes a kind of ideal image of the [film] medium itself.'11

Nowhere is Lévi-Strauss's notion of myth more applicable to the musical than in the relationship of the genre to the studio system which produced it. Faced with declining attendance due to competition from television, the studio could suggest, through Singin' in the Rain, that making musicals can provide a solution to any crisis of technological change. Faced with charges of infantilism from the citadels of high art, the studio could suggest, through The Barkleys of Broadway, that all successful performances are musical performances. Faced with the threat of changing patterns of audience consumption, the studio could suggest, through The Band Wagon, that the MGM musical can adapt to any audience. The Band Wagon ends where the films That's Entertainment I and II commence, in an attempt to recapture the aura of the 'Golden Age' of the Freed/MGM musicals. It is not surprising that the 'That's Entertainment' number from The Band Wagon should have been inserted into the contemporary sequences of the nostalgia compilations. For the ending of The Band Wagon already marked the genre's celebration of its own (and Hollywood's) economic death and ritual rebirth.

Self-reflexivity as a critical category has been associated with films such as those of Godard, which call attention to the codes constituting their own signifying practices. The term has been applied to aesthetically or politically radical films which react against so-called classical narrative cinema by interrogating their own narrativity. Thus we tend to associate reflexivity with the notion of deconstruction within film-making practice. The MGM musical, however, uses reflexivity to perpetuate rather than to deconstruct the codes of the genre. Self-reflective musicals are conservative texts in every sense. MGM musicals have continued to function both in the popular consciousness and within international film culture as representatives of the Hollywood product at its best. I hope to have shown that this was the very task these texts sought to accomplish.

Notes

- 1 See Leo Braudy, The World in a Frame (New York: Anchor Press/Doubleday, 1976), pp. 143-47, for a discussion of self-consciousness in Shall We Dance.
- 2 The Barkleys of Broadway presents Josh and Dinah Barkley (Fred Astaire and Ginger Rogers) as the Lunts of musical comedy. Dinah leaves musical comedy to do a serious play ('Young Sarah'), and finally learns the lesson that there's no difference between serious acting and musical comedy acting. She returns to do a musical at the end of the film. Singin' in the Rain depicts the coming of sound to Hollywood. An early talkie which fails ('The Dueling Cavalier') is remade as a musical which succeeds ('The Dancing Cavalier'). The Band Wagon also involves the re-production of a show that flops (a musical version of the Faust story called 'The Band Wagon') into a musical revue which succeeds (again called 'The Band Wagon').
- 3 University of Kentucky Press, 1965, p. 223.
- 4 'The Structural Study of Myth' in Structural Anthropology (New York: Basic Books, 1963), p. 220. I am also indebted to Lévi-Strauss for other ideas contained in the same essay: first, that a myth works itself out through repetition in a number of texts; second, that myth works through the mediation of binary oppositions.
- 5 These terms are taken from Paul Ricoeur, Freud and Philosophy (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1970), p. 54. Ricoeur uses them to refer to two schools of hermeneutics which nevertheless constitute 'a profound unity.' I find them equally applicable to texts which seek to interpret themselves.
- 6 The inseparability of demystification from its opposite (remythicization) is best illustrated by A Star is Born (Warners, 1954), at once the last bearer of the studio's myth of entertainment and the first of the antimusicals. Even the supposedly Brechtian antimusical Cabaret (1972) merely inverts the backstage paradigm, while maintaining its narrative strategy.

7 See Braudy, p. 147 ff. for a discussion of the function of spontaneity in the Astaire and Kelly personae.

8 See David Lusted, 'Film as Industrial Product – Teaching a Reflexive Movie,' Screen Education 16 (Autumn 1975) for detailed examples of the mystification-demystification dynamic in Singin' in the Rain.

9 Other good examples of 'natural audiences' in the MGM musical include 'By Strauss,' 'I Got Rhythm,' and 'S'Wonderful' in An American in Paris (1951); 'Niña' in The Pirate (1947); and 'I Like Myself, Gene Kelly's dance on roller skates in It's Always Fair Weather (1955). The history of this device in the musical film may be traced from Jolson to Chevalier to Astaire to Kelly and back to Astaire, spontaneity of performance providing the link among the major male musical stars.

10 The extreme example of this phenomenon is A Star is Born (1954), the signification of which depends upon the audience's knowledge of Judy Garland's off-screen life as the negation of her MGM on-screen image.

11 'The American Musical,' Brighton Film Review 15 (December 1969), p. 13.

11 · Richard Dyer: 'Entertainment and Utopia'

Movie, no. 24, Spring 1977, pp. 2-13

Every society depends on categories which are somehow above all suspicion: people may not be able to define a given practice, but 'they know it when they see it.' Entertainment is one such category in American life. Borrowed from a French term meaning to keep up, to maintain, to foster, or to feed, the term entertainment stresses the hold which certain forms of spectacle have on the spectator. By its very etymology, then, the term entertainment suggests a discursive phenomenon rather than an impersonal narrative form. 'Let me entertain you' = 'Let me hold your interest; let me create a bond between you and me.' The French long ago abandoned the term 'entretenir' to designate various forms of popular spectacle, however. At least since Pascal the word has been 'divertir' - to turn away, to distract, to divert. Far from placing emphasis on entertainment's power to hold the spectator's interest, the French term stresses instead entertainment's tendency to create 'an attack or feint that draws the attention and force of an enemy from the point of the principal operation,' as Webster's would have it ('diversion').

Traditionally, critics have chosen one of these two definitions and ignored the other. Entertainment is either a highly developed form created by past-masters in the art of dialogue, geniuses at sensing the mood of an audience, or it is a drug which lulls the masses to sleep, bribes them with pleasurable dreams, and thus distracts them from the stern tasks which are their true destiny. In fact, these two statements are complementary rather than contradictory, yet rarely have the prejudices aroused by the mere mention of the term entertainment allowed this fact to surface. The widespread nature of this blindness makes Richard Dyer's article all the more important, for where others have simply justified to the faithful (fans or radicals) their prejudice that entertainment is either good or bad, Dyer demonstrates how the musical lies at the intersection of diversion and discourse. A careful and extremely sensitive reading of three diverse films permits Dyer to make some basic generalizations about the value structure of American entertainment. In so doing Dyer is able to construct a model for resolving the most persistent paradox about the nature of entertainment: how can it be that entertainment simultaneously appeals to the spectator by reaffirming his values and provides the spectator with those very values? By revealing how and why entertainment works - why it satisfies us at the very same time that it is limiting our interests - Richard Dyer helps us, finally, to